	N TT.	
	PARTICE.	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	
2		
3	No. 00-11671-WGY	
4		
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
5	* RICHARD W. COMERFORD, *	
6	RICHARD W. COMMICIONS,	
~	Plaintiff, * *	
7	v. * STATUS CONFERENCE	
8	*	
9	THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF * DEFENSE and COMMONWEALTH OF *	
10	MASSACHUSETTS, et al., * *	
10	Defendants. *	
11	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
12		
13	BEFORE: The Honorable William G. Young, District Judge	
14		
	APPEARANCES:	
15		
16	RICHARD W. COMERFORD, Pro Se, 9 Speedwell Lane,	
17	Plymouth, Massachusetts 02360	
1 /	BARBARA HEALY SMITH, Assistant United States	
18	Attorney, 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200, Boston,	
19	Massachusetts 02210, on behalf of the Federal Defendants	
20	OFFICE OF THE MASSACHUSETTS ATTORNEY GENERAL /By Michelle A. Kaczynski, Assistant Attorney General),	
21	Trial Division, 200 Portland Street, Boston,	
22	Massachusetts 02114, on behalf of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Defendants	
23		
	1 Courthouse Way	
24	Boston, Massachusetts	
25	October 30, 2001	

## APPEARANCES (Cont'd)

SHADAWRY & RABINOVITZ (By Edward Rabinovitz, Esq.), 15 Broad Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109, on behalf of David Smith and Rhonda Smith

LAW OFFICE OF TIMOTHY M. BURKE (By Joseph P. Kittridge, Esq.), 160 Gould Street, Suite 111, Needham, Massachusetts 02494-2300, on behalf of James M. Deyermond

1	THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in session, please
2	be seated.
3	Calling Civil Action No. 00-11671, Comerford v.
4	the United States, et al.
5	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Would the parties
6	identify themselves.
7	MR. COMERFORD: Richard Comerford for himself,
8	your Honor.
9	MS. SMITH: Barbara Healy Smith, Assistant U.S.
LO	Attorney for the federal defendants.
11	MR. RABINOVITZ: Edward Rabinovitz representing
12	the private defendants, David and Rhonda Smith.
13	MR. KITTRIDGE: Joseph Kittridge for defendant
14	Deyermond.
15	MS. KACZYNSKI: Michelle Kaczynski, Assistant
16	Attorney General for the defendants Mass. Army National
L7	Guard, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and Colonel Vezina.
18	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Comerford, when do you
L 9	want to go to trial in this case?
20	MR. COMERFORD: As soon as possible, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Well, let's talk about that. I have a
22	medical malpractice case on that is due to go to the jury
23	on the 19th of November. That's the week of Thanksgiving.
24	When is the federal administrative proceedings
25	supposed to come to an end, Ms. Smith?

```
MS. SMITH: As I understand it, your Honor, any
 1
      time between now and December 20th.
 2
               THE COURT: Oh, we're up to December 20 now?
 3
               MS. SMITH: That's I believe what -- is that the
 4
      date I put in the status?
 5
               THE COURT: Well, you may well have.
 6
 7
               MR. RABINOVITZ: Yes.
               MS. SMITH: I just -- yes.
 8
               THE COURT:
                           Well, I'm not waiting on it.
 9
               MS. SMITH: If I may, your Honor?
10
                           Well, I'm not waiting on it.
11
               THE COURT:
12
               MS. SMITH: I understand.
               THE COURT: I've already waited until
13
      October 15th. Now, I did that for a perfectly intelligible
14
               There's ample time for the agency to pull itself
15
      together and take a position.
16
17
               Now, if they take a position then I'm going to
18
      treat this as a hearing on an administrative agency
19
      decision. If I get to it before then I'll decide the
20
      matter and they'll be bound. But I'm not rushing it.
21
      have matters to deal with.
22
               Now, I'm going to impanel on the 26th of November
23
      a two day criminal case, and maybe a civil case as well.
               What's next after, after that? What's the next
24
      case?
25
```

r.ow.

(Whereupon the Court and the Clerk conferred.)

THE COURT: All right, here's what I'm disposed to

do. I will hold this -- I have four civil cases for

November. One I'm going to hold a pretrial conference on

This will be the fifth. And I'll try them in that order. That way I am both, in my eyes, fair to Mr.

Comerford, because I put the case right back in order to be tried, in November, and fair to the defense because I haven't jumped Mr. Comerford's case over any other cases that I have.

Now, if those cases all settle and resolve, I'll come looking for you. The likelihood is I will not come looking for you before late November. But any case can settle. But I don't think we'll run through the case I have on trial now plus four other cases by November. So it will probably be sometime in December.

Now, if that's when we're going to go to trial, still sticking with Mr. Comerford, what matters need to be resolved now?

This is a jury waived trial. You don't get a jury on this. At least I don't see any claims that are cognizable to a jury.

Let me ask the defense that. There are no such claims, are there?

MS. SMITH: It's the federal defendants' view that 1 none of these claims are justiciable in this Court, your 2 Honor. 3 THE COURT: Well, you take --MS. SMITH: Briefing on that was put off at the 5 time we stayed the prior proceedings. 6 THE COURT: The case is alive now. You go ahead and attack it. But we're going to start with a trial date 8 and work back. If you're right, if you're right, I, of 9 course, will follow the law. Mr. Comerford will get his 10 11 chance to oppose it. But assuming something is 12 justiciable, nothing's before a jury? 13 MS. SMITH: Nothing. THE COURT: That's my view. All right. So it's 14 going to be a jury waived case before me, Mr. Comerford. 1.5 What -- I've now set the trial date. The case is open, 16 17 it's alive. What more should I do this afternoon? 18 MR COMERFORD: Your Honor, could you give me 19 quidance on discovery? 20 THE COURT: Well, I really can't give you guidance 21 but I'm not hesitant. I mean, you understand I'm not your 22 lawyer here. 23 MR. COMERFORD: Yes, sir. THE COURT: But I now have a live case. You 24 25 characterize this case considerably different than the

1.3

2.1

defendants. At least than the defendant Department of the Army. They say that all of this is an administrative, all of this is an administrative hearing and the Court should keep their nose out of it. And if I have any review at all, I have a very narrow review. And despite the fact I'm trying to get the matter resolved, they say I've got to wait on them. And what's more, even when they've resolved it probably I have nothing to do.

She may say some other things and she may say it better than that, but she thinks there will never be a trial here.

Now, conceivably she may be right. But I've waited long enough. It's now time to face up to issues and for me to take a position on them.

And I answer your question by making that statement. Because I'm starting out now treating this case like any other case. But I'm giving it a prompt trial. It will be the fifth case in order, civil, once I work through these other four civil cases after the case I have on trial now. So that's November or December, your case will be adjudicated.

In that time you may want to ask for some discovery. My guess is that as soon as you ask for it they will oppose it. They will say no discovery, no examination of the merits is permitted at all, at least probably the

MR. COMERFORD: Thank you.

THE COURT: You've made that clear. And I think I have cautioned you as to the risks of being represented by counsel. But if I haven't let me.

MR. COMERFORD: Yes, sir.

MS. SMITH: There is counsel of record.

THE COURT: Wait. Well, fine.

MS. SMITH: In one of the cases.

THE COURT: Fine. That counsel -- the man tells me he's not authorized to act. Every action has got to be dealt with Mr. Comerford. Only with Mr. Comerford. It's clear to me he wants to act on his own behalf.

But I must caution you. Just as I said I can't be your lawyer, I can't cut you any slack. When a person rep -- first, you've got every right to represent yourself. You have a right to come into court insofar as anyone so situated as you has a right. The government's going to say throw him out of court on the legalities of it, and we'll see. But you have a right to be here. You have a right to be treated courteously and to be given every accommodation that I give to attorneys. But no more. I'm supposed to take into account that you don't really have a lawyer with you, but that doesn't translate into my making arguments that you should have made or anything like that.

I'm not your lawyer. I do counsel you, and I do

Department of the Army will say that, because they say you just don't have any business here. I don't know what the other defendants will say, but they may well say the same or some variation of that. If that happens I'll have to decide that, or at least decide it preliminarily.

I'm sorry I can't give you any more guidance. But to me I always am very straightforward, I try to be. I put this case on ice, and while I didn't forget, I put it on ice until the 15th of October. You filed various motions. I just filed them. The case is on ice. I didn't deal with them other than to file them.

Well, the 15th of October has come and gone, you've asked for the case to be rendered alive. Well, I am. And it's never going to be closed again. We're going to resolve the case. But the truth is I haven't thought through these issues.

(Whereupon the Court and the Clerk conferred.)

THE COURT: Ms. Smith reminds me there's of course two cases, because we've consolidated the two cases into one case. But I'm talking generally. That's the most guidance I can give you.

Anything else?

MR. COMERFORD: Yes, sir. The federal and Commonwealth attorneys claim I am represented by counsel.

THE COURT: You can represent yourself.

```
1
      it most sincerely, most people have lawyers in court.
      That's why there is a legal profession because things are
 2
      technical.
 3
               Do you -- are you going to get a lawyer in this
 4
 5
      case?
               MR. COMERFORD: Your Honor, I am not representing
 6
      myself out of arrogance. The last month the government
 7
      paid me for was April '96. I have endured investigation
 8
      after investigation.
 9
               THE COURT: But my question now. Understand my
10
      question.
11
12
               MR. COMERFORD: Yes, sir. Sorry.
               THE COURT: Are you going to get a lawyer?
13
               MR. COMERFORD: No, I do not think so, sir.
14
               THE COURT: All right. I've cautioned you and you
15
      have made it very clear that for now you're representing
16
      yourself in both cases. If you get a lawyer and the lawyer
17
      files an appearance then I'll deal only with the lawyer.
1.8
      But for now everyone knows you're representing yourself,
19
      they'll all deal directly with you.
20
21
               All right. Anything else that I need to deal
      with? We'll hear what they have to say now.
22
2.3
               All right. Now, that's how I intend to proceed.
24
     Ms. Smith?
25
               MS. SMITH: Your Honor, for the record, I feel I
```

need to say that the ABCMR, which is not a party to the suit, is the administrative body, the Army Board for Correction of Military Records which is reviewing Mr. Comerford's petition. They have received additional materials and requests for relief and evidence as recently as, as I understand it, August and September from Mr. Comerford. That is, all of that needs to go into the hopper to be considered.

As I also understand it, and this may speed things, they don't have a current physical and psychological exam and all of the claims have to do with medical disability or being fit for duty. And as I understand, I may be wrong, but Major Comerford has refused the Army's request that he get such an exam. If they had one it makes it a little easier to consider what the options are and what some of the claims are.

THE COURT: Well, you talk to him but I'm not -- are you moving for a physical exam under the Rules of Civil procedure?

MS. SMITH: Well, I would need to check whether, the relevance to this case, although I suspect the claims are relevant, your Honor, in order to do that. So I'm stopping short of that today.

THE COURT: Yes. Then you make a motion and I'll, it's a discovery motion, and I'll deal with it as a normal

discovery motion.

MS. SMITH: The other point I need to make is that we did stay this at a fairly early stage so that things would not be prematurely split apart. There are Tucker Act claims here in our view. There are claims barred by the fairness doctrine in our view. That briefing has been stayed. I anticipate we will be filing that brief posthaste because -- I mean, the tort claims cannot be brought. They're incident to military service.

THE COURT: Well, I hear you and I've cautioned Mr. Comerford. But those things were all in the offing when I stayed the action. And I stayed it for a finite period. Now the stay has run out. Now it's time to face up. If he's to be, figuratively, thrown out of court or transferred to another court, or I have to say that I don't, primary jurisdiction is an administrative agency, those are all things I have to say on the record with a decision. None of them have I faced up to.

Contrariwise, if he were to survive those things

I've now set a trial date, or I've set it in order to be

tried, and when that comes up, assuming I have something to

try, I'm going to try it. All right.

MS. SMITH: Just so I can be clear, whatever discovery's going to be done for trial, because none of it was done prior to closure, whatever briefing, dispositive

```
briefing will be done and whatever trial prep, will all be
 1
      done between now and November 1st?
 2
               THE COURT: I didn't say November 1st.
 3
               MS. SMITH: But are we on the November list?
 5
               THE COURT: What?
 6
               MS. SMITH: On the November list?
 7
               THE COURT: You're on the November list. And
 8
      you're the fifth case on the November list and it looks
      like I won't get to you until December.
 9
               MS. SMITH: Unless the first four settle.
10
               THE COURT: Well, even if they don't settle we'll
11
      try them. We'll be to you probably sometime in December.
12
      And then I'm going to trial.
13
               Now, in the meantime if you make motions, I'll
14
15
      deal with the motions.
               MS. SMITH: Thank you, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: All right. Anyone else have any
17
18
      question? Any questions? Yes?
19
               MS. KACZYNSKI: Just to advise your Honor that I
      am scheduled to be before the Appeals Court of the
20
21
      Commonwealth on Monday, November 19th.
22
               THE COURT: My, my usual -- thank you. And my
     usual rules apply. I will, if we get this case to trial, I
23
24
     will honor your other trial, actual trial engagements and
25
     that's a type of engagement I would honor. So we wouldn't
```

try it that day because you're engaged before another court.

Any other questions?

MR. KITTRIDGE: Yes, your Honor. Attorney Joseph Kittridge on behalf of State Police Officer James Deyermond.

His role in this is a lot different than having to do with any administrative entitlement to any benefits or anything. There's the allegation that he conducted an investigation, in some way conspired with the other defendants and participated in the deprivation of these benefits. As a result of the stay none of the discovery had been conducted by my client. I feel with such a short window my client is being prejudiced by not having the opportunity to do some more discovery before a potential trial in 30 days.

THE COURT: Well, I'd get to it. The simple fact of the recitation of the schedule, that doesn't prejudice your client and we'll see when we get close to trial. You get to the discovery.

I hear no other questions. All right.

There are various motions for preliminary relief on the part of Mr. Comerford. Those are denied. And the case is set for trial, and if there's motion practice either as to discovery or dispositive motions I'll deal

with it promptly. 1 Thank you very much. The other matter is a 3 pretrial conference and we'll deal with that in the lobby. We'll recess. 4 THE CLERK: All rise. 5 (Whereupon the matter concluded.) 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 9 10 I, Donald E. Womack, do hereby certify that the 11 above proceedings were reported by me stenographically and 12 this transcript represents a true and accurate 13 14 transcription of said proceedings. 15 16 17 18 19 DONALD E. WOMACK 20 Official Court Reporter 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 5510 21 Boston, Massachusetts 02210 (617) 439-8877 22 23 24 25